

ON CONJECTURES OF ITOH AND OF LIPMAN ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF NORMALIZED BLOW-UPS

MANOJ KUMMINI AND SHREEDEVI K. MASUTI

ABSTRACT. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k})$ be a Noetherian three-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified ring and I an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary R -ideal. Write $X = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{I^n} t^n)$. We prove some consequences of the vanishing of $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, whose length equals the constant term $\bar{e}_3(I)$ of the normal Hilbert polynomial of I . Firstly, X is Cohen-Macaulay. Secondly, if the extended Rees ring $A := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{I^n} t^n$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, and either R is equicharacteristic or $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$, then $\bar{e}_2(I) - \text{length}_R\left(\frac{\bar{I}}{I}\right) \geq 3$; this estimate is proved using Boij-Söderberg theory of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$. The two results above are related to a conjecture of S. Itoh (J. Algebra, 1992). Thirdly, $H_E^2(X, I^m \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all integers m , where E is the exceptional divisor in X . Finally, if additionally R is regular and X is pseudo-rational, then the adjoint ideals $\tilde{I}^n, n \geq 1$ satisfy $\tilde{I}^n = I^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 3$. The last two results are related to conjectures of J. Lipman (Math. Res. Lett., 1994).

1. RESULTS

Let R be a commutative Noetherian local ring and $R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$ a filtration of R by ideals. Several authors have studied homological properties of the *Rees ring* $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n t^n$ of this filtration (t is an indeterminate). Duong Quốc Việt [Viê93, Theorem 1.1], and S. Goto and K. Nishida [GN94, Theorem (1.1)] independently determined a criterion for the Cohen-Macaulayness of the Rees ring (under a mild hypothesis) in terms of the local cohomology modules of the *associated graded ring* $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (I_n / I_{n+1}) t^n$; see also [Lip94b, Theorem 4.4].

Take a Noetherian three-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k})$ whose completion has no nilpotents (i.e., R is *analytically unramified*) and an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary R -ideal I , and consider the filtration $\overline{I^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$; here $(\cdot)$ denotes integral closure. In this situation, the criterion of Việt and Goto-Nishida is that the Rees ring $\mathcal{R} := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{I^n} t^n$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the associated graded ring $G := \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\overline{I^n} / \overline{I^{n+1}}) t^n$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $H_{G_+}^3(G)_n = 0$ for every $n \geq 0$. (G_+ is the G -ideal $\oplus_{n \geq 1} G_n$.)

Write X for $\text{Proj } \mathcal{R}$. There is a natural projective birational morphism $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$. The sheaf of ideals $I\mathcal{O}_X := \text{Im}(I \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$ on X is invertible and ample, and defines an effective Cartier divisor $E \subseteq X$. Note that $E = \text{Proj } G$ and that it is the exceptional locus of f . For any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will write $\mathcal{F}(m) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (I\mathcal{O}_X)^m$. One can show that $H_{G_+}^3(G)_n = H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(n)) = 0$ for every $n \geq 0$ if and only if $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Hence, assuming that $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, one may ask for sufficient and necessary conditions for G to be Cohen-Macaulay.

Date: July 14, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13A30, 13D45, 13B22; Secondary: 13H10, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Blow-up algebras, cohomology, integral closure, adjoints.

MK was partially supported by a grant from Infosys Foundation. SKM was supported by Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India.

There is another way of looking at this. Write

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \bar{e}_i(I) \binom{n+3-i}{3-i}$$

for the *normal Hilbert polynomial* of I , i.e., the polynomial function that gives $\text{length}_R(R/\overline{I}^{n+1})$ for all sufficiently large n . The coefficients $\bar{e}_i(I)$ are integers, and are often called the *normal Hilbert coefficients* of I . Let $A := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{I}^n t^n$ be the *extended Rees ring* of this filtration. Note that $G = A/(t^{-1})$; hence G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if A is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the A -ideal $ItA + (t^{-1})A$. S. Itoh [Ito92, p. 114] showed that $\bar{e}_3(I) = \text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ (Note that for every coherent $\mathcal{F}$ on X , $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite-length R -module for every $i > 0$.) He conjectured that if R is additionally Gorenstein and $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$, then A is Cohen-Macaulay [Ito92, Conjecture, p. 116 and Corollary 16].

Our results, of which the following theorem is the principal one, concern the vanishing of $\bar{e}_3(I) = \text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$. For the benefit of the reader, and for putting certain assertions in context, we have stated some of them in various equivalent forms.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$ (i.e., $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$). Then:*

- (a) $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^3(A) = 0$, and hence X and E are Cohen-Macaulay, i.e., every local ring of these schemes is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (b) $\bar{e}_2(I) = \text{length}_R(H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))) = \text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)))$. Further, there is an inclusion $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \subseteq (0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(R)} \bar{I})$.
- (c) Suppose that I has a reduction generated by three elements x, y, z . Then there exist exact sequences (which are restatements of each other):

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\bar{I}^2}{(x, y, z)\bar{I}} \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\phi} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) \longrightarrow 0;$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\bar{I}^2}{(x, y, z)\bar{I}} \longrightarrow H_{It}^3(A)_{-1} \longrightarrow (H_{It}^2(A)_1)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\phi} H_{It}^2(A)_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

where ϕ arises from the Koszul complex on $xt, yt, zt \in A$. Further, A is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$.

- (d) Additionally suppose either that R is equicharacteristic or that $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$. If A is not Cohen-Macaulay, then $\text{length}_R(\ker \phi) \geq 3$.

That $\text{length}_R(\ker \phi) > 1$ if it is non-zero (without the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1(d)) can also be obtained from [Ito92, Theorem 2]. The extra hypothesis of Theorem 1.1(c) is not really a restriction. We may replace R by a suitable extension ring (so that the residue field is infinite), and I by the extended ideal, without effecting the numerical data that is part of the hypothesis.

Itoh proved a special case of the conjecture: with $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ [Ito92, Theorem 3]. Recently A. Corso, C. Polini and M. E. Rossi [CPR14, Theorem 3.3] extended Itoh's result (with $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$) to the case of arbitrary Cohen-Macaulay R and I satisfying $\text{type}(R) \leq \text{length}_R(\bar{I}^2/\mathfrak{m}I) + 1$. (Here $\text{type}(R)$ is the *type* of R , i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_R^3(\mathbb{k}, R)$, which also equals $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{soc}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(R))$.) The following corollary of Theorem 1.1 strengthens this result :

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that $\bar{e}_3(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$.*

- (a) $\bar{e}_2(\mathfrak{m}) \leq \text{type}(R)$.
- (b) A is Cohen-Macaulay if $\bar{e}_2(\mathfrak{m}) \leq \text{length}_R(\bar{I}^2/\mathfrak{m}I) + 2$ for any ideal I such that $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 1.1 does not settle Itoh's conjecture. We hope that proving increasingly better lower bounds for $\text{length}_R(\ker \phi)$ would give a method of checking whether A is Cohen-Macaulay. General lower bounds for the coefficients $\bar{e}_i(I)$ (especially for $i = 1, 2$) have been established by Itoh [Ito88, Ito92], C. Huneke [Hun87] and Corso-Polini-Rossi [CPR14].

We now exhibit the relation of the hypothesis that $\text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$ to two conjectures of J. Lipman. Suppose that S is a regular local ring. Denote the *adjoint* [Lip94a, Definition (1.1)] of an S -ideal J by $\tilde{J}$. In [Lip94a, Conjecture (1.6)], he asserted that $\tilde{J}^n = J\tilde{J}^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq \ell(J)$, where $\ell(J)$ is the analytic spread of J . In [Lip94a, Conjecture (2.2)] (from which the first conjecture would follow), he asserted that if Y is any regular S -scheme that is obtained by a sequence of blow-ups at non-singular centres and on which $J\mathcal{O}_Y$ is invertible, then with Y_0 denoting the closed fibre of the morphism $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$, $H_{Y_0}^i(Y, J\mathcal{O}_Y^{-1}) = 0$ for all $i < \dim S$; see [Lip94a, Remark (2.2.1)(b)]. In dimension two, Lipman observes [Lip94a, (2.2.1)] that these conjectures follow from his earlier work. In dimensions three and higher, [Lip94a, Conjecture (2.2)] is known to hold for essentially finite-type rings over a field of characteristic zero (proofs by S. D. Cutkosky in [Lip94a, Appendix A], using the Kodaira-Ramanujam vanishing theorem, and by E. Hyry and O. Villamayor [HV98, Theorem 2.7], using the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem) and for finitely supported ideals in regular local rings of arbitrary characteristic (by Lipman [Lip08, Theorem 2.1]). Our second result (which works in arbitrary characteristic in dimension three) is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Adopt the notation and the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. Then $H_E^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if R is regular and I is such that X is pseudo-rational. Then $\tilde{I}^n = I\tilde{I}^{n-1}$ for every $n \geq \ell(I) = 3$.*

The first assertion of the above theorem is not exactly a special case of [Lip94a, Conjecture (2.2)], while the second one is an affirmative case of [Lip94a, Conjecture (1.6)].

A word about the proofs. First we prove the vanishing $H_n^3(A) = 0$ asserted as part of Theorem 1.1(a); this result is vital to our proof and connects Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. Theorem 1.1(a)–(c) follow from this vanishing and some homological algebra. The non-vanishing of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ implies the non-vanishing of $H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(1))$. This, taken with the results obtained in Section 3, gives the proof of Theorem 1.1(d). The first assertion of Theorem 1.3 is deduced from the vanishing of $H_n^3(A)$; the second assertion follows from this, and a result of Lipman.

This paper is organized as follows. Various preliminary facts are reviewed in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove some results on the cohomology groups of certain coherent sheaves on the projective plane over a field. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of the results asserted above. In Section 5, we collect some examples that illustrate the use of our results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Jugal Verma for helpful comments throughout the preparation of this manuscript, A. J. Parameswaran for examples that clarified some of the arguments and Joseph Lipman for comments on an earlier draft.

2. PRELIMINARIES

By standard arguments [HS06, Section 8.4], we will assume that $\mathbb{k}$ is infinite, and hence that I has a minimal reduction (x, y, z) . (We note the lengths of the various finite-length modules and the vanishing of cohomology and the invariants $\bar{e}_i(-)$ mentioned in Section 1 are unaffected.) Further, the ring A , the schemes X and E , the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ and the invariants $\bar{e}_i(-)$ do not depend directly on I but only on $\bar{I}$; hence we may replace I by its minimal reduction (x, y, z) .

Notation 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{k})$ is a Noetherian three-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified local ring and $I = (x, y, z)$ an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary R -ideal. Let $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{I}^n t^n$, $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{I}^n t^n$ and $G = A/(t^{-1})$. Write $\mathfrak{n}$ for the A -ideal $ItA + (t^{-1})A$ and G_+ for the G -ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of G of positive degree. Write $X = \text{Proj } \mathcal{R}$ and $E = \text{Proj } G$; it is an effective Cartier divisor of X , defined by the invertible sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{O}_X(1) := I\mathcal{O}_X$. For any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will write $\mathcal{F}(m) = \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (I\mathcal{O}_X)^m$. For elements $a_1, \dots, a_r$ of A , and an A -module M , write $K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r; M)$ for the Koszul complex $K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r) \otimes_A M$ and $H_i(a_1, \dots, a_r; M)$ for $H_i(K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r; M))$. If $a_1, \dots, a_r$ are homogeneous and M is graded, then $K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r; M)$ can be considered as a complex of graded A -modules with maps of degree zero. $\square$

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m+1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(m) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Write $X' := \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n t^n)$. Then $I\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is an ample invertible sheaf [EGA, II, (4.6.6), (8.1.7)]. Since R is analytically unramified, the natural map $X \rightarrow X'$ is finite; f is the composite $X \rightarrow X' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is the pull-back of $I\mathcal{O}_{X'}$, it is an ample invertible sheaf on X .

Write $C^\bullet = 0 \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow C^2 \rightarrow C^3 \rightarrow C^4 \rightarrow 0$ for the stable Koszul complex (sometimes also called (extended) Čech complex) on A with respect to the sequence t^{-1}, xt, yt, zt . The modules C^j are graded, with maps of degree zero. Let $L_\bullet = 0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow 0$ be a complex of graded A -modules with maps of degree zero. Then we get a second-quadrant double-complex with $L_i \otimes_A C^j$ at position $(-i, j)$. Note that all the maps in this complex are of degree zero. There are two associated spectral sequences $'E_{**}$ and $''E_{**}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} 'E_1^{-i,j} &= H_n^j(L_i) \text{ with maps } 'd_1^{-i,j} : 'E_1^{-i,j} \rightarrow 'E_1^{-i+1,j} \quad \text{and} \\ ''E_2^{-i,j} &= H_n^j(H_i(L_\bullet)) \text{ with maps } ''d_2^{-i,j} : ''E_2^{-i,j} \rightarrow ''E_2^{-i-1,j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since all the maps in the double-complex have degree zero, the maps in the two spectral sequences above have degree zero. Now, suppose that $L_\bullet = K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r; M)$ for some homogeneous elements $a_1, \dots, a_r$ and a graded A -module M . Then the $'E_1$ page consists of the Koszul complexes $K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_r; H_n^j(M))$. Hence $'E_2^{-i,j} = H_i(a_1, \dots, a_r; H_n^j(M))$.

Discussion 2.3. We quote some facts, chiefly from Itoh's papers. While some of these statements hold *mutatis mutandis* in more generality, we keep to dimension three. There is an exact sequence (see [Ito88, Appendix 2])

$$\dots \rightarrow H_n^i(A) \rightarrow H_{It}^i(A) \rightarrow H_m^i(R)[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \dots,$$

from which we see that the natural map $H_n^i(A) \rightarrow H_{It}^i(A)$ is an isomorphism of graded A -modules, for $i = 0, 1, 2$. There is an exact sequence of graded A -modules:

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_n^3(A) \rightarrow H_{It}^3(A) \rightarrow H_m^3(R)[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow H_n^4(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $i \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism $H_{It}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$. We have that (see [Ito88, Lemma 4(i), p. 391]) t^{-1}, zt is a regular sequence on A , so

$$(2.5) \quad H_n^i(A) = 0 \text{ for } i \leq 1.$$

Additionally, (see [Ito88, Theorem 2, p. 390] and [HU14, Theorem 1.2])

$$(2.6) \quad H_n^2(A)_n = 0 \text{ for every } n \leq 0.$$

Since $H_m^1(R) = H_m^2(R) = 0$, we see that

$$(2.7) \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = H_{It}^2(A)_n = 0 \text{ for every } n \leq 0.$$

Further $\bar{e}_3(I) = \text{length}_R(H_{It}^3(A)_0) = \text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ [Ito92, p. 114]. Finally we remark that when $\bar{e}_3(I) = \text{length}_R(H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$, A is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\overline{I^{n+2}} = I^n \overline{I^2}$ for all $n \geq 0$; see [Ito92, Corollary 16]. (Itoh uses this condition to state his conjecture and many results in his paper.) We note that $\overline{I^{n+2}} = I^n \overline{I^2}$ for all $n \geq 0$ if and only if $\overline{I^{n+1}} = I \overline{I^n}$ for all $n \geq 2$. This can be proved by induction on n .

We remark that (2.6) holds in dimension two also; we will need this in the proof of Lemma 4.1(a). $\square$

3. COHERENT SHEAVES ON THE PROJECTIVE PLANE

In this section let $\mathbb{k}$ be any field. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2 := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$. We use Boij-Söderberg theory for coherent sheaves on projective spaces [ES10] to make some observations about $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}(m))$, $m > 0$, for certain sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ (see (3.1)). We begin with a review of Boij-Söderberg theory, keeping ourselves to $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$. The *cohomology table* of $\mathcal{F}$ is the element $\gamma(\mathcal{F})$ of $\prod_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^3$ with $(\gamma(\mathcal{F}))_{i,m} = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^i(\mathcal{F}(m))$. Say that $\mathcal{F}$ has *super-natural cohomology* if

- (a) for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists at most one i such that $H^i(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0$; and,
- (b) the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{F}$ i.e., the polynomial that gives the function

$$m \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^i(\mathcal{F}(m))$$

has distinct integral zeros.

If $\mathcal{F}$ has super-natural cohomology, then we denote the zeros of its Hilbert polynomial by $z_1 > \dots > z_s$ (with $s = 1$ or $s = 2$), and call the sequence $(z_1, \dots, z_s)$ the *zero sequence* of $\mathcal{F}$. Given any sequence $z = (z_1 > \dots > z_s)$ of integers, (with $s = 1$ or $s = 2$), set $z_{s+1} = \dots = z_3 = -\infty$ and $z_0 = \infty$. Then there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with super-natural cohomology satisfying the following:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^i(\mathcal{F}(m)) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^s |(m - z_i)|, & z_{i+1} < m < z_i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(To get this, apply [ES09, Theorem 6.1] with $m_1 = \dots = m_k = 1$.) We write $\gamma^z = \gamma(\mathcal{F})$ for this $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, such a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ may be taken to be locally free on a linear subvariety $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^s \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$.

Write $S = \mathbb{k}[u, v, w]$ for the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$ and $S_+ = (u, v, w)S$. We are interested in a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad H^j(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}(m)) = 0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} j = 0 \text{ and } m \leq -1, \\ \text{or, } j = 1 \text{ and } m = 0, \\ \text{or, } j = 2 \text{ and } m \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Take the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ coming from the natural Koszul complex on $\mathbb{P}^2$ given by u, v, w , and apply $-\otimes \mathcal{F}(m)$. Write ψ_m for the ensuing map $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1)^{\oplus 3}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy (3.1). Then:*

- (a) *For every $m \geq 2$, ψ_m is surjective.*
- (b) *For all $m \geq 1$, if $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$ then $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m+1)) = 0$.*

- (c) There exist a positive integer r and non-negative rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_r$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = \sum_{i=1}^r ia_i$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 = \sum_{i=1}^r (i+2)a_i$. Hence ψ_2 is injective if and only if $H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = 0$.
- (d) Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(2)) = 1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 3$.
- (e) Suppose that ψ_2 is not injective. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 \geq 3$.

Proof. 3.2(a): Let $m \geq 2$. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Koszul complex on $\mathbb{P}^2$, which is a locally free resolution of 0. Apply $-\otimes \mathcal{F}(m)$ to this complex and consider the hypercohomology spectral sequence for the functor $H^0(X, -)$. The E_1 -page of this spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(\mathcal{F}(m-3)) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-3)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-2))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\psi_m} & H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \\ H^0(\mathcal{F}(m-3)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(m-2))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(m-1))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(m)) \end{array}$$

(We have used the fact that $m \geq 2$ to get the three zeros in the top row.) This spectral sequence abuts to zero, whence follows the surjectivity of ψ_m .

3.2(b): Immediate from 3.2(a).

3.2(c): Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a chain of zero sequences and $a_z, z \in \mathcal{Z}$ be non-negative real numbers such that

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} a_z \gamma^z;$$

see [ES10, Theorem 0.2] and the discussion leading to it. Since we are over $\mathbb{P}^2$, z could be of the form $z = (z_1)$ or of the form $z = (z_1 > z_2)$. In the former case, γ^z is the cohomology table of a locally free sheaf on a projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ embedded inside $\mathbb{P}^2$ as a linear subspace; in the latter case, it is the cohomology table of a locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$. First suppose that $z = (z_1)$ and that $a_z > 0$. Then $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0$ for all $m < z_1$ and $H^0(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0$ for all $m > z_1$. It follows at once from (3.1) that $z_1 \in \{0, -1\}$. Such cohomology tables do not contribute to $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ for any $m > 0$. Now suppose that $z = (z_1 > z_2)$ and that $a_z > 0$. Then for every m such that $z_2 < m < z_1$, $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0$. It follows again from (3.1) that $z_2 \leq 0$, that $z_1 \geq -1$ and that if γ^z contributes to $H^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$ for any $m > 0$, then $z_2 = 0$. Hence we need only consider the finite subset of $\mathcal{Z}$ consisting of all the zero sequences of the form $(0 < z_1)$. Label these zero sequences $z^{(i)} = (0 < i+1), i = 1, \dots, r$. By abusing notation, write a_i for the non-negative real coefficient with which $\gamma^{z^{(i)}}$ appears in $\gamma(\mathcal{F})$. These coefficients are in fact rational [ES10, Theorem 0.2]. We may assume that $a_r > 0$.

Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=m}^r m(i+1-m)a_i, & 1 \leq m \leq r, \\ 0, & m > r. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) &= \sum_{i=1}^r i a_i \\ \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(2)) &= \sum_{i=2}^r 2(i-1) a_i.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 = 3 \sum_{i=1}^r i a_i - \sum_{i=2}^r 2(i-1) a_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (i+2) a_i.$$

3.2(d): First we show that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \leq 1$ for every $m \geq 3$. Since ψ_2 is surjective, we see that, without loss of generality, the multiplication map

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) \xrightarrow{u} H^1(\mathcal{F}(2))$$

is non-zero; since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(2)) = 1$, this map is an isomorphism. Write H for the hyperplane (isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$) defined by the equation $u = 0$ inside $\mathbb{P}^2$. Use (3.1) to see that $H^1(H, \mathcal{F}|_H(2)) = 0$. Hence $H^1(H, \mathcal{F}|_H(m)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 3$, from which we see that the map

$$H^1(\mathcal{F}(m-1)) \xrightarrow{u} H^1(\mathcal{F}(m))$$

is surjective for every $m \geq 3$. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \leq 1$ for every $m \geq 3$.

Now let $m_0 := \max\{m : H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0\}$. Then 3.2(b) implies that

$$\{m : m \geq 1, H^1(\mathcal{F}(m)) \neq 0\} = \{1, \dots, m_0\}.$$

We want to show that $m_0 \leq 2$. Let $r, z^{(i)}, a_i$ be as in the proof of 3.2(c). Then (3.3) implies that $m_0 = r$; hence we want to show that $r \leq 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(r)) &= r a_r = 1 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(r-1)) &= (r-1) a_{r-1} + 2(r-1) a_r = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{r-1} \geq 0$ and $a_r > 0$, we see that $r \geq 2(r-1)$, or equivalently, that $r \leq 2$.

3.2(e): From 3.2(c), we see that there exists i such that $a_i > 0$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 > \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) > 0$; since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1))$ are integers, $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 \geq 2$. We will show that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 \neq 2$.

Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \psi_2 = 2$. Then by 3.2(c), $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = 1$ and, hence, $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^1(\mathcal{F}(2)) = 1$. Thus using 3.2(d) we can refine the information from (3.1) to the following:

$$(3.4) \quad H^j(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}(m)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \begin{cases} j = 0 \text{ and } m \leq -1, \\ \text{or, } j = 1 \text{ and } (m = 0 \text{ or } m \geq 3), \\ \text{or, } j = 2 \text{ and } m \geq 0. \end{cases} \\ 1, & \text{if } j = 1 \text{ and } m \in \{1, 2\}. \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that there does not exist a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying (3.4).

Assume to the contrary and let $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}(m))$. Recall that $S = \mathbb{k}[u, v, w]$ and $S_+ = (u, v, w)S$. Consider the hypercohomology spectral sequence from the proof of 3.2(a), for $m = 3$

and $m = 4$. For $m = 3$, using (3.4), we get the E_1 -page to be

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\psi_3} & 0 \\ H^0(\mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(1))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(2))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(3)). \end{array}$$

This abuts to zero, so the map $\mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3}$ is surjective, hence also injective. Therefore the map $H^0(\mathcal{F}(2))^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(3))$ is surjective, i.e.,

$$(3.5) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left(\frac{M}{S_+ M} \right)_3 = 0.$$

For $m = 4$, the E_1 -page is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \mathbb{k} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ H^0(\mathcal{F}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(2))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(3))^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{F}(4)) \end{array}$$

Since ψ_2 is surjective, at least one of the maps given by multiplication by u, v, w is non-zero, so the map $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3}$ of the second row in the above spectral sequence is injective. Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \left(\frac{M}{S_+ M} \right)_4 = 2.$$

Note that $\text{depth}_{S_+}(M) = 2$ [ILL⁺07, Theorem 13.21]. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal graded S -free resolution of M . From this, $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))$ has a graded S -free presentation

$$(3.7) \quad \text{Hom}_S(F_0, S(-3)) \xrightarrow{\partial^*} \text{Hom}_S(F_1, S(-3)) \text{ with } \text{Im}(\partial^*) \subseteq S_+ \cdot (\text{Hom}_S(F_1, S(-3))).$$

From this we get a graded isomorphism (of degree 0)

$$\text{Hom}_S(F_1, S(-3)) \otimes_S \mathbb{k} = \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3)) \otimes_S \mathbb{k}.$$

The Hilbert series of $H_{S_+}^2(M)$ is $\mathfrak{z}^1 + \mathfrak{z}^2 + \wp(\mathfrak{z}^{-1})$ where $\wp(-)$ is a formal power series with a zero constant term (see (3.4)). Hence, by (graded) local duality [ILL⁺07, Theorem 13.5] the Hilbert series of $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))$ is $\mathfrak{z}^{-2} + \mathfrak{z}^{-1} + \wp(\mathfrak{z})$. Let

$$N := \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))_{-2} \oplus \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))_{-1}.$$

Since $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))_0 = 0$, N is a summand of $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))$ as an S -module. Let

$$G_{\bullet} : 0 \longrightarrow G_3 \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal graded S -free resolution of N . We may extend (3.7) to a (possibly non-minimal) graded S -free resolution of $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))$. Note that $G_{\bullet}$ is a summand of this resolution of $\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S(-3))$. In particular G_1 is a summand of $\text{Hom}_S(F_0, S(-3))$.

If $N = N_{-2} \oplus N_{-1}$ as S -modules, then

$$G_{\bullet} = K_{\bullet}(u, v, w; S(2) \oplus S(1))$$

so $S(1)^{\oplus 3}$ is a summand of G_1 and hence of $\text{Hom}_S(F_0, S(-3))$. Equivalently, $S(-4)^{\oplus 3}$ is a direct summand of F_0 contradicting (3.6). On the other hand, if N is generated by N_{-2} as an S -module, then without loss of generality,

$$N = \left(\frac{S}{(u, v, w^2)} \right) (2),$$

so

$$G_\bullet = K_\bullet(u, v, w^2; S(2)),$$

from which it follows that S is a summand of $\text{Hom}_S(F_0, S(-3))$. Equivalently, $S(-3)$ is a summand of F_0 , contradicting (3.5). $\square$

4. PROOFS

As we remarked in Section 1, we first prove the vanishing $H_n^3(A) = 0$, whence, using some homological algebra, follow Theorem 1.1(a)–(c). The non-vanishing of $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$, taken with the results obtained in the previous section, gives the proof of Theorem 1.1(d). The first assertion of Theorem 1.3 is deduced from the vanishing of $H_n^3(A)$. The rest of the proofs are more or less standard computations using spectral sequences.

In the next lemma, we do not need the assumption that $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$. Thereafter that $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$ will be the standing hypothesis.

Lemma 4.1. *With notation as above:*

- (a) $H_n^1\left(\frac{A}{(t^{-1}, zt)}\right)_n = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$.
- (b) $H_2(t^{-1}, zt; H_n^3(A))_n = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$.

Proof. 4.1(a): By replacing R by a rational extension and x, y, z by suitable elements, we may assume that, with $R' = R/(z)$ and $J = IR'$, $\bar{J}^n = \bar{I}^n R' = \bar{I}^n / z \bar{I}^{n-1}$ for $n = 1$ and for $n \gg 0$; see [Ito92, Theorem 1]. Let $B = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{J}^n t^n$. Consider the natural map $\varphi : A/(zt) \rightarrow B$. By above, this map is bijective in degree n for $n \leq 1$ and for $n \gg 0$. Hence $\ker \varphi$ and $\text{coker } \varphi$ are finite-length A -modules. (See also the first paragraph of the proof [HU14, Theorem 2.1].) On the other hand, by (2.5), $\text{depth}_n(A/(zt)) \geq 1$, so φ is injective.

Write $M = \text{coker } \varphi$. Set $N_i = \text{Tor}_i^A(M, A/(t^{-1}))$, $i \geq 0$. Since t^{-1} is a non-zero-divisor on A and B , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow A/(t^{-1}, zt) \rightarrow B/(t^{-1}) \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Upon breaking this into two short exact sequences and applying $H_n^0(-)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow H_n^1\left(\frac{A}{(t^{-1}, zt)}\right) \rightarrow H_n^1\left(\frac{B}{(t^{-1})}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $M_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 1$, $(N_0)_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 1$. Hence to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that

$$H_n^1\left(\frac{B}{(t^{-1})}\right)_n = 0$$

for all $n \leq -1$. Note, however that

$$H_n^1\left(\frac{B}{(t^{-1})}\right)_n = \ker \left(H_n^2(B)_{n+1} \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} H_n^2(B)_n \right).$$

From (2.6) (using the remark at the end of Discussion 2.3), we know that $H_n^2(B)_{n+1} = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$. This finishes the proof of 4.1(a).

4.1(b): Take $L_\bullet = K_\bullet(t^{-1}, zt; A)$. Then $'E_2^{-i,j} = H_i(t^{-1}, zt; H_n^j(A))$. By (2.5), $'E_2^{-i,j} = 0$ unless $(i, j) \in \{0, 1, 2\} \times \{2, 3, 4\}$. All the maps on the $'E_2$ -page except possibly $'d_2^{-2,3}$ and $'d_2^{-2,4}$ are zero. Again by (2.5), the only possibly non-zero terms on the $'E_2$ -page are $'E_2^{0,j} = H_n^j(A/((t^{-1}, zt)A))$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Comparing the two spectral sequences, we see that the associated graded object of $H_n^1(A/((t^{-1}, zt)A))$ with respect to the $'E$ spectral sequence is $'E_2^{-1,2} \oplus \ker 'd_2^{-2,3}$. Using Lemma 4.1(a), we conclude that $(\ker 'd_2^{-2,3})_n = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$. On the other hand, for all $n \leq 0$, $'d_2^{-2,3}$ maps $(E_2^{-2,3})_n$ to $(E_2^{0,2})_n = H_0(t^{-1}, zt; H_n^2(A))_n = 0$. Hence, for all $n \leq -1$, $(E_2^{-2,3})_n = (\ker 'd_2^{-2,3})_n = 0$, proving 4.1(b). $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *If $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$, then $H_{It}^3(A)_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Note that $\bar{e}_3(I) = \text{length}_R(H_{It}^3(A)_0)$; hence it suffices to show that if $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $H_{It}^3(A)_m = 0$, then $H_{It}^3(A)_n = 0$ for all $n \geq m$. Since $It(A/(zt))$ is generated by xt and yt , we see that $H_{It}^3(A/(zt)) = 0$; hence the multiplication map by zt on $H_{It}^3(A)$ is surjective. $\square$

As we mentioned in the beginning of this section, the vanishing asserted in the next proposition is in some sense the first step in the proofs of our results.

Proposition 4.3. *If $\bar{e}_3(I) = 0$, then $H_n^3(A) = 0$. Hence (2.4) yields an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{It}^3(A) \longrightarrow H_m^3(R)[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow H_n^4(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Note that since $H_n^3(A)$ is a (t^{-1}, zt) -torsion module, it is zero if and only if its submodule $(0 :_{H_n^3(A)} (t^{-1}, zt)A)$ is zero. Note that $(0 :_{H_n^3(A)} (t^{-1}, zt)A) = H_2(t^{-1}, zt; H_n^3(A))$. Therefore $(0 :_{H_n^3(A)} (t^{-1}, zt)A)_n = 0$ for all $n \leq -1$ by Lemma 4.1(b), and for all $n \geq 0$ by (2.4) and Lemma 4.2. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. $H_{It}^2(G)_m = H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(m)) = 0$ for every $m \leq 0$.

Proof. Since $G = A/(t^{-1})$, there is an exact sequence

$$H_{It}^2(A)(1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} H_{It}^2(A) \longrightarrow H_{It}^2(G) \longrightarrow H_{It}^3(A)(1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} H_{It}^3(A)$$

with maps of degree zero. By Proposition 4.3, t^{-1} is a non-zero-divisor on $H_{It}^3(A)$, so we have a surjective map $H_{It}^2(A)_m \longrightarrow H_{It}^2(G)_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now use (2.7). $\square$

Discussion 4.5. Consider the Koszul complex

$$K_\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-2)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

given by the section $(xt, yt, zt) \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$. Since (x, y, z) is a reduction of $\bar{I}$, this complex is exact. Therefore, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is a locally free resolution of 0, so for every coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{G}$, the hypercohomology spectral sequences associated to the complex $K_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{G}(m)$ for the functor $H^0(X, -)$ abut to zero. Since X has a covering by three affine open sets, $H^i(X, -) = 0$ for every $i \geq 3$. Hence the $'E_1$ -page of this spectral sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(\mathcal{G}(m-3)) &\longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}(m-2))^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}(m-1))^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}(m)) \\ H^1(\mathcal{G}(m-3)) &\longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}(m-2))^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{G}(m-1))^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\phi_m^{\mathcal{G}}} H^1(\mathcal{G}(m)) . \\ H^0(\mathcal{G}(m-3)) &\longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{G}(m-2))^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{G}(m-1))^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{G}(m)) \end{aligned}$$

We observe immediately that

$$(4.6) \quad \text{if } H^2(\mathcal{G}(m)) = 0 \text{ then } H^2(\mathcal{G}(m+1)) = 0.$$

Further, if $H^2(\mathcal{G}(m)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$, then the abutment of this spectral sequence to zero implies that

$$(4.7) \quad \phi_m^{\mathcal{G}} \text{ is surjective for every } m \geq 2.$$

Parenthetically, note that $\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is the map ϕ in the exact sequence in Theorem 1.1(c). $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a coherent sheaf on X that is generated by global sections, i.e., there exists a positive integer r and a surjective morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then $H^2(\mathcal{G}(m)) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. Moreover, $\phi_m^{\mathcal{G}}$ is surjective for every $m \geq 2$.*

Proof. As $H^3(X, -) = 0$, we have a surjective map

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}(m)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}(m)) \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 0$ (by hypothesis and (4.6)), we get the first assertion. The second one follows from (4.7). $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *We have the following:*

$$\{m : H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) \neq 0\} = \{m : H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(m)) \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, if this set is non-empty, then it is of the form $\{1, \dots, m\}$ for some positive integer m .

Proof. For each of these sets in question, if it is non-empty, then it is of the form $\{1, \dots, m\}$ for some positive integer m (possibly different in each case); this follows immediately from (2.7), Corollary 4.4 and Proposition 4.8.

Recall that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$ and that $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Further there is an exact sequence (see (2.2))

$$\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n+1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(n)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(n+1));$$

Using these facts, one can immediately prove the following assertions: if either of these sets given in the proposition is non-empty, then the other is also non-empty; if the sets are non-empty, their maxima are same. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that we have reduced the problem to the case of I being generated by three elements x, y, z .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a): That $H_n^3(A) = 0$ has been proved as part of Proposition 4.3. Since E is a Cartier divisor in X , X is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if E is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence it suffices to show that X is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1$. Write $B^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{I}^{mn} t^n$ and $A^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{I}^{mn} t^n$. Note that $X = \text{Proj } B^{(m)}$. Write $u = (xt)^m, v = (yt)^m, w = (zt)^m$. Since x^m, y^m, z^m is a reduction for $\overline{I}^m$, we see that

$$X = \text{Spec} \left((B^{(m)})_u \right) \cup \text{Spec} \left((B^{(m)})_v \right) \cup \text{Spec} \left((B^{(m)})_w \right).$$

Notice that the natural map $(B^{(m)})_u \rightarrow (A^{(m)})_u$ is an isomorphism; similarly for v and w also. Therefore, to show that X is Cohen-Macaulay, it suffices to show that $A^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some m .

We claim that $A^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $m \gg 0$. Write $\mathfrak{n}'$ for the homogeneous maximal ideal of $A^{(m)}$. Notice that for all i and for all m ,

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}'}^i(A^{(m)})_j = \begin{cases} H_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(A)_j, & \text{if } m \text{ divides } j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $H_{\mathfrak{n}'}^i(A^{(m)}) = 0$ if $i = 0, 1, 3$. To show that $H_{\mathfrak{n}'}^2(A^{(m)}) = 0$ for all $m \gg 0$, note that there exists m_0 such $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^2(A)_j = 0$ for all $j \geq m_0$. (For, $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^2(A)_j = H_{I_t}^2(A)_j = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(j))$ for all j and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is ample.) Hence for all $m \geq m_0$, $H_{\mathfrak{n}'}^2(A^{(m)}) = 0$.

1.1(b): It is known that

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \bar{e}_i(I) \binom{n+2-i}{2-i} = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \text{length}_R(H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(n)));$$

see, for example, [Ito92, p. 114]. Therefore

$$\bar{e}_2(I) = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \text{length}_R(H^i(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))).$$

We saw in Corollary 4.4 that $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1)) = 0$. Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \longrightarrow H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Note that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = R$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, to conclude that the finite-length module $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))$ is a quotient of R by a principal ideal, which implies that $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1)) = 0$. Therefore

$$\bar{e}_2(I) = \text{length}_R(H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))).$$

The exact sequence used above continues further, and ends as follows:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \longrightarrow H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1)) \longrightarrow 0;$$

therefore $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \simeq H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))$. From the exact sequence in Proposition 4.3 we see that $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = H_{I_t}^2(A)_{-1} \subseteq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(R)$. Now note that, since $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \simeq H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-1))$, it is an $R/\bar{I}$ -module. Therefore $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \subseteq (0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(R)} \bar{I})$.

1.1(c): We see from Discussion 2.3 that the two given exact sequences are indeed restatements of each other; hence we will work with the description involving $\mathcal{O}_X$.

The E_1 -page of the spectral sequence from Discussion 4.5 with $m = 2$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_X$ becomes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & \bar{I}^{\oplus 3} & \longrightarrow & \bar{I}^2 \end{array}$$

Note that $\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is the map ϕ . The abutment of this spectral sequence to zero gives the required exact sequence.

Suppose that A is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = H_{I_t}^2(A)_m = H_{\mathfrak{n}}^2(A)_m = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, if $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$, then the surjectivity of $\phi_m^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ in Discussion 4.5 for every $m \geq 2$ implies that $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^2(A)_m = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 1$; that $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^2(A)_m = 0$ for every $m \leq 0$ is (2.6). Now Theorem 1.1(a) implies that A is Cohen-Macaulay.

1.1(d): Using the spectral sequence from Discussion 4.5 with $(m, \mathcal{G}) = (2, \mathcal{O}_X)$, $(m, \mathcal{G}) = (3, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(m, \mathcal{G}) = (2, \mathcal{O}_E)$ we have the following commutative diagram with exact columns and surjective rows.

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi_3^{\mathcal{O}_X}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(3)) \\ \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \alpha_3 \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi = \phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\ \beta_1 \downarrow & & \beta_2 \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_E}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Let $\eta \in \ker \beta_2 = \text{Im } \alpha_3$. Lift it to $\tilde{\eta} \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2))^{\oplus 3}$. Since $\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\alpha_2(\tilde{\eta})) = \eta$, we see that

$$\phi|_{\ker \beta_1} : \ker \beta_1 \longrightarrow \ker \beta_2$$

is surjective. Snake lemma gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\beta_1|_{\ker \phi}) \longrightarrow \ker \phi \longrightarrow \ker \phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to show that if $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq 0$, then

$$(4.11) \quad \text{length}_R(\ker \phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_E}) \geq 3,$$

under the hypothesis that R is equicharacteristic or $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Note that in either of these cases, G_0 has a coefficient field, which too we denote by $\mathbb{k}$. Consider the $\mathbb{k}$ -subalgebra H of G generated by $\overline{xt} := xt + \overline{I^2}, \overline{yt}, \overline{zt}$; since x, y, z is a reduction for I , G is finite over H , and, therefore, H is a polynomial ring. The inclusion $H \subseteq G$ is a graded map under which $\sqrt{H_+G} = \sqrt{G_+}$. Write $\mathbb{P}^2 := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2 = \text{Proj } H$. Hence we get a finite map $\nu : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Further, since E is Cohen-Macaulay, the homogeneous localizations $G_{(\overline{xt})}, G_{(\overline{yt})}, G_{(\overline{zt})}$ are finite Cohen-Macaulay modules over the corresponding homogeneous localizations of H ; therefore, by the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, $\nu_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is coherent locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ -module which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}$. Further, $\mathcal{O}_E(1) = \nu^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Using the projection formula, we see that

$$H^j(E, \mathcal{O}_E(m)) = H^j(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}(m)) \text{ for all } m \text{ and } j.$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies (3.1). Maps ψ_2 and $\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_E}$ are the same. Therefore (4.11) follows from Propositions 4.9, 3.2(c) and 3.2(e). $\square$

Remark 4.12. We could remove the restriction of equicharacteristic in Theorem 1.1(d) if we had a weak version of Proposition 4.9 for $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X$. More precisely, suppose that we have the following implication: if $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \neq 0$ then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{E_0}(1)) \neq 0$. Then, analogous to (4.10), we have

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2^{\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X}} & H^1(\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\
 \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \alpha_3 \downarrow \\
 H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi=\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_X}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \\
 \beta_1 \downarrow & & \beta_2 \downarrow \\
 H^1(\mathcal{O}_{E_0}(1))^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}}} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_{E_0}(2)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}
 \tag{4.13}$$

with exact columns. Applying $-\otimes_R \mathcal{O}_X$ to any finite R -free presentation of $\mathbb{k}$, we see that $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X$ is generated by global sections; by Proposition 4.8, $\phi_2^{\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X}$ is surjective, so the rows of (4.13) are surjective. Now there is a finite map $\nu : E_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Note that $\nu_*\mathcal{O}_{E_0}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1(d), we conclude that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \ker \phi_2^{\mathcal{O}_{E_0}} \geq 3$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Use Theorem 1.1(b), 1.1(c) and 1.1(d). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Write $U = X \setminus E = \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have exact sequences

$$(4.14) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_E^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m)|_U) \rightarrow \cdots$$

For every m , $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m)|_U) = H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^2(R) = 0$. However we see from the exact sequence in Proposition 4.3 that the natural map $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^2(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^3(R)$ is injective for every m . Hence

$$(4.15) \quad H_E^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0 \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We want to show that

$$(4.16) \quad H_E^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0 \text{ for every } m \leq 0.$$

From (4.14) and (2.7) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_E^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m)|_U) \rightarrow H_E^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow 0,$$

for every $m \leq 0$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_E^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$, while for $m \leq 0$, the middle two modules are isomorphic to R . Since $H_E^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m))$ has finite length for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude (4.16).

Now suppose that R is regular. Let $\omega_X := H^0 f^! R$; since R is Gorenstein and X Cohen-Macaulay, ω_X is dualizing sheaf on X . Duality with supports [Lip78, Theorem p.188] applied to (4.15) and (4.16) imply that $H^1(X, \omega_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $H^2(X, \omega_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 0$. Therefore, the E_1 -page of the spectral sequence from Discussion 4.5 with $m \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{G} = \omega_X$ has only one non-zero row:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\omega_X(m-3)) \rightarrow H^0(\omega_X(m-2))^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow H^0(\omega_X(m-1))^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow H^0(\omega_X(m)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence this is an exact sequence, from which we conclude that

$$H^0(X, \omega_X(m)) = IH^0(X, \omega_X(m-1)) \text{ for all } m \geq 3.$$

Since X is pseudo-rational, $\widetilde{I}^m = H^0(X, \omega_X(m))$ for all $m \geq 1$ [Lip94a, (1.3.1)]. $\square$

5. FURTHER REMARKS

We now describe a few examples in which explicit calculations show that A (and $\mathcal{R}$, because of the vanishing of $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$) is Cohen-Macaulay.

Example 5.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a three-dimensional regular local ring, and $I := (x, y, z)$ an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Suppose that $S := R/(x)$ is pseudo-rational or that $R = \mathbb{C}[[u, v, w]]$ and $S := R/(x)$ has an elliptic (Gorenstein) singularity [Rei97, Definition 4.12]. Let A and X be as earlier. We will show that $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$; hence by Theorem 1.1(c) A is Cohen-Macaulay.

Write $J = IS$. Let $Y = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{J^n} t^n)$. We first show that

$$(5.2) \quad H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0 \text{ for every } m \geq 1.$$

To prove this, consider the two cases. If S is pseudo-rational, then $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$. Since (y, z) is a minimal reduction of J , the Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

given by $(yt, zt) \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\oplus 2})$ is exact. Apply $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(m)$ for a positive integer m to get (5.2) in this case. If S has elliptic singularity, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 1$. Let m be the largest integer such that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(m)) \neq 0$. Suppose that $m > 0$. Since (y^m, z^m) is a minimal reduction of J^m , the Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-2m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(-m)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

given by $(y^m t^m, z^m t^m) \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)^{\oplus 2})$ is exact. Apply $-\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(2m)$ to get a surjective map

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(m))^{\oplus 2}.$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 1$, we see that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(m)) = 0$ contradicting the hypothesis that $m > 0$, from which (5.2) follows.

Note that Y is defined by $xt \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

whence we obtain an injective map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))$, showing that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 0$. Similarly, for every $m \geq 0$, we get isomorphisms $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(m+1))$, from which we conclude that $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 0$. $\square$

Example 5.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a three-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified domain. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Suppose that there exists a non-singular scheme Z that is projective over R such that $I\mathcal{O}_Z$ is invertible. As earlier, set $X = \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{I^n} t^n)$, and let $f : X \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R$ be the natural morphism. Then there is a morphism $g : Z \longrightarrow X$ such that the morphism $Z \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is the composite fg . We compute $H^*(Z, I^m \mathcal{O}_Z)$, $m \geq 0$, using the spectral sequence for the composite fg . Since $I\mathcal{O}_Z = g^*I\mathcal{O}_X$, we use the projection formula to see that $R^i g_* I^m \mathcal{O}_Z = (R^i g_* \mathcal{O}_Z)(m)$ for all integers m . Thus the spectral sequence gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^1(Z, I^m \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^0(X, (R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_Z)(m)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow H^2(Z, I^m \mathcal{O}_Z).$$

Further suppose that $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = H^2(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$. (This would hold, e.g., if R has rational singularities.) Thus we have an isomorphism

$$H^0(X, R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Now suppose that $H^1(Z, I^m \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for all $m \gg 0$. Since $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for all $m \gg 0$, we see that $H^0(X, (R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_Z)(m)) = 0$ for all $m \gg 0$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is ample, this means that $R^1 g_* \mathcal{O}_Z = 0$, and, hence, that $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$.

In this context, suppose that R is regular and that I is finitely supported, i.e., Z can be obtained from $\text{Spec } R$ by a finite sequence of blow-ups at closed points. Then one has that $H^1(Z, I^m \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for every $m \geq 1$ [Lip08, Corollary 3.1(ii)]. Hence $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \geq 1$, and A is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Example 5.4. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ a three-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay analytically unramified ring such that $\bar{e}_3(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. Set $A = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^n t^n$ and $X = \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^n t^n)$. Suppose that the associated graded ring $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{m}^n / \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ is reduced. Then $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^n = \mathfrak{m}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (To see this, suppose, by way of contradiction, that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^n \setminus \mathfrak{m}^n$. Let d be the largest integer such that $a \in \mathfrak{m}^d$. Suppose that

$$a^r + a_1 a^{r-1} + \cdots + a_r = 0$$

with $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{ni}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $a^r \in \mathfrak{m}^{rd+(n-d)i} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{rd+1}$, implying that the a is nilpotent in the associated graded ring. This argument works for all (radical) ideals, not just $\mathfrak{m}$.) Therefore $A = R[\mathfrak{m}t, t^{-1}]$, X is the blow-up of $\text{Spec } R$ at the closed point, and E is reduced. Suppose further that R is normal. Then E is connected. Thus $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is a reduced local finite $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra, i.e., a finite field extension of $\mathbb{k}$. If additionally $\mathbb{k}$ is algebraically closed, then $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = \mathbb{k}$, so $H^1(X, \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. By Theorem 1.1(c) A is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [CPR14] A. Corso, C. Polini, and M. E. Rossi. Bounds on the normal Hilbert coefficients, 2014. arXiv:1410.4233v1 [math.AC]. 2, 3
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Éléments de géométrie algébrique I–IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 1960–1967. 4
- [ES09] D. Eisenbud and F.-O. Schreyer. Betti numbers of graded modules and cohomology of vector bundles. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(3):859–888, 2009. 5
- [ES10] D. Eisenbud and F.-O. Schreyer. Cohomology of coherent sheaves and series of supernatural bundles. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 12(3):703–722, 2010. 5, 6
- [GN94] S. Goto and K. Nishida. *The Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein Rees algebras associated to filtrations*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1994), no. 526. 1
- [HS06] C. Huneke and I. Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. 3
- [HU14] J. Hong and B. Ulrich. Specialization and integral closure. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 90(3):861–878, 2014. 4, 9
- [Hun87] C. Huneke. Hilbert functions and symbolic powers. *Michigan Math. J.*, 34(2):293–318, 1987. 3
- [HV98] E. Hyry and O. Villamayor. A Briançon-Skoda theorem for isolated singularities. *J. Algebra*, 204(2):656–665, 1998. 3
- [ILL⁺07] S. B. Iyengar, G. J. Leuschke, A. Leykin, C. Miller, E. Miller, A. K. Singh, and U. Walther. *Twenty-four hours of local cohomology*, volume 87 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. 8
- [Ito88] S. Itoh. Integral closures of ideals generated by regular sequences. *J. Algebra*, 117(2):390–401, 1988. 3, 4
- [Ito92] S. Itoh. Coefficients of normal Hilbert polynomials. *J. Algebra*, 150(1):101–117, 1992. 2, 3, 5, 9, 12
- [Lip78] J. Lipman. Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 107(1):151–207, 1978. 14
- [Lip94a] J. Lipman. Adjoints of ideals in regular local rings. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(6):739–755, 1994. With an appendix by Steven Dale Cutkosky. 3, 14
- [Lip94b] J. Lipman. Cohen-Macaulayness in graded algebras. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(2):149–157, 1994. 1
- [Lip08] J. Lipman. A vanishing theorem for finitely supported ideals in regular local rings. *Michigan Math. J.*, 57:573–585, 2008. Special volume in honor of Melvin Hochster. 3, 16
- [Rei97] M. Reid. Chapters on algebraic surfaces. In *Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993)*, volume 3 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 3–159. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. 15

- [Viê93] D. Q. Viêt. A note on the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras of filtrations. *Comm. Algebra*, 21(1):221–229, 1993. [1](#)

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SIRUSERI, TAMILNADU 603103. INDIA
E-mail address: `mkummini@cmi.ac.in`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHENNAI, TAMILNADU 600113. INDIA
E-mail address: `shreedevikm@imsc.res.in`